UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCI United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING	G DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/537,363 06/02/2005		Wiebe De Boer	US02 0527 US	3423		
65913 NXP, B.V.	7590	09/24/2007		EXAM	INER	-
-	LECTUAL P	ROPERTY DEPA	NG, JAMES WAI HEUNG			
M/S41-SJ	V DDIVE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	-
	1109 MCKAY DRIVE SAN JOSE, CA 95131			1763		-
				NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	-
				09/24/2007	ELECTRONIC .	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ip.department.us@nxp.com

	·						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/537,363	DE BOER, WIEBE					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	James Ng	1763					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was realized to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 Ju	<u>ine 2005</u> .	•					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims	•						
4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	•	•					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
··		·					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ acce		: Evaminer					
·—							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		ion No.					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• •						
application from the International Bureau							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
•							
Attachment(s)							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ratent Application					

Application/Control Number: 10/537,363

Art Unit: 1763

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-14, drawn to An Apparatus And Method For Sensing And Controlling Temperature OF A Wafer.

Group II, claim(s) 15-17, drawn to A Method For Multi Stage Deposition.

- 2. The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The apparatus and method claims of Group I lack the same or corresponding special technical features of the method claims in Group II regarding "heating a wafer to a deposition temperature at a first pressure" and "a subsequent deposition cycle at a second pressure that is less than the first pressure."
- 3. A telephone call was made to Mr. Peter Zawilski on September 10, 2007 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

Art Unit: 1763

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James Ng whose telephone number is 571-272-7088. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, please call Primary Examiner, Jeffrie R. Lund at 571-272-1437. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

Art Unit: 1763

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

James Ng

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1763

Jeffrie R. Lund

Primary Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1763